

# Marcellus and Utica Shales Asset Overview

Mike Anderson NiSource Gas Distribution March 22 2013



### **Discussion Topics**

- General
  - Location
  - Geographic Extent
  - Wet vs. Dry Gas
- Infrastructure
  - Transmission Pipelines
  - Gathering/Processing
  - NGL
  - Distribution Implications
- Producer Activities
- Infrastructure Challenges
  - Local Distribution Company
  - Pipelines



# What are the Marcellus and Utica Shales?

- Location
- Potential
- Gas Quality





4







Source: Range Resources



6

#### Initial Perspective on Potential Utica Production



Source: Ohio Geological Society Survey, as modified by Ross Smith Energy Group



#### Updated Perspective on Potential Utica Production in Ohio





PMSource

#### Modifying Views on Production





### Infrastructure

- Transmission Pipelines
- Gathering/Processing
- NGL
- Distribution Implications



#### Major Interstate Pipeline Systems



Major Interstate Pipeline Systems

![](_page_11_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_3.jpeg)

# Proposed Interstate Pipeline Expansions - Utica

- Texas Eastern Ohio Pipeline Energy Network (OPEN)
  - Transport up to 1 Bcf/d to TETCO's mainline in Monroe Co., OH
  - In service date targeted for 2015
- NEXUS Gas Transmission JV between Spectra/DTE/Enbridge
  - Transport up to 1 Bcf/d to upper Midwest and eastern Canadian markets
  - In service date: November 2016
- Columbia Transmission QuickLink Project
  - Transport up to 500 MMcf/d to interconnections with TCO, DTI, REX, TET
  - In service date: November 2015
- Tennessee Negotiating backhaul arrangements from eastern Ohio to Zone 1.
  - 350 500 MMcf/d possible to interconnect with Midwest Gas Transmission
- Rockies Express

![](_page_12_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Figure_1.jpeg)

(1) CHK contracted plants reflect plant capacity, not CHK's contract volumes. Note: Natrium's phase one projected to be online in 2Q'13 with future system to reach ~2.0 bcf/d. Kensington phase one of ~200 mmcf/d projected to be online in mid-year 2013 with future system to reach 600 mmcf/d. Source: Company records

![](_page_13_Picture_3.jpeg)

# Natural Gas Processing Facilities - Marcellus

#### Separation

- Mark West Houston, Majorsville, Mobley, Sherwood, Bluestone
  - Existing capacity : 1.1 Bcf/d; 2013 additions: 1.1 Bcf/d; 2014 additions: 700 MMcf/d
- Williams Fort Beeler, Oak Grove, Stewart, Robin Hill
  - Existing capacity: 520 MMcf/d; future additions: up to 600 MMcf/d
- Blue Racer (formerly DTI) Natrium, Hastings
  - Existing capacity: 380 MMcf/d; future additions: up to 200 MMcf/d

#### Fractionation (Bbl/day)

- Mark West Houston
  - Existing capacity: 60,000 C<sub>3+</sub>; 2013 additions: 76,000 C<sub>2</sub>; 2014: 38,000 C<sub>2</sub>
- Williams Fort Beeler
  - Existing capacity: 13,000  $C_{3+}$ ; future additions: 60,000  $C_{3+}$  and 40,000  $C_2$
- Blue Racer Natrium
  - 36,000 C<sub>3+</sub>

![](_page_14_Picture_16.jpeg)

# Natural Gas Processing Facilities - Utica

#### Separation

- Mark West Cadiz; Seneca
  - 785 MMcf/d by year end 2013
- M3/Access/EVEP Kensington, Leesville, Harrison
  - 600 MMcf/d by year end 2013; 200 MMcf/d by year end 2014
- Pennant Midstream (Nisource/Hillcorp)
  - 200 MMcf/d mid-2013
- Blue Racer Petersburg, Berne, Lewis
  - 200 MMcf/d in 2014

#### Fractionation (Bbl/day)

- Mark West Hopedale
  - 60,000  $C_{3+}$  and 40,000  $C_2$  by year end 2014
- M3/Access/EVEP Harrison
  - 90,000 C<sub>3+</sub> and 45,000 C<sub>2</sub>

![](_page_15_Picture_16.jpeg)

#### LPG Transmission Options

![](_page_16_Figure_2.jpeg)

17

# Producer Activities

- Rig Count
- Utica Permitting Activity
- Horizontal Drilling/Facing Operations
- Marcellus (Pa.) Production History

![](_page_17_Picture_6.jpeg)

#### Active Drilling Rigs by Month

![](_page_18_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Through 3-9-12 567 Permits have been Issued – 268 wells have been drilled
- 75 wells presently producing
- Producer statements seem to indicate that productive window does not go as far westward as mapped by DNR
- Producer statements generally positive on Utica potential

![](_page_19_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

2 SOURCE

# **Recent Completion Highlights**

- Rex Energy:
- Chesapeake:
- Gulfport:
- Antero:
- Consol/Hess:

- 3 wells IP average 3000+ Boepd
- 3 wells IP average 1830 Boepd
- 4 wells IP average 2560 Boepd
- 1 well IP at 2230 Boepd
- 2 wells IP average 912 Bcpd and 12.5 MMcf/d

#### Horizontal Drilling and Fracturing

![](_page_22_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

M Correct

### **Infrastructure Challenges**

- High BTU values and large flow rates Challenge COH Utilization
  - High BTU Values Create Operational and Safety Concerns
    - Isolated, small temperature-sensitive markets unable to accept large volumes
    - Liquid drop-out from untreated High BTU gas can plug low pressure lines and create significant safety issues if liquids reach burner tips
  - High BTU Values Create Earnings/Revenue Challenges
    - Residential and small Commercial customers billed on volume not energy content
  - Industrial Customer Impacts
    - Fluctuating BTU values can cause havoc with industrial processes.

![](_page_24_Picture_10.jpeg)

#### Example Historic BTU Values

![](_page_25_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_3.jpeg)

### **Infrastructure Challenges**

- Widespread Geographic Footprint Sets Requirement for Large Level
  of New Infrastructure
  - High Initial Well Flow Rates
  - New Production in Areas that Don't Have History of Wet Gas Production
    - Gathering/Processing/Fractionation Facility Requirements
  - Interstate Pipeline Facilities
    - Where does Utica Gas go?
  - Treatment Facilities
    - New Natural Gas Processing Capability for Marcellus and Utica Exceeds 3.5 Bcf/d
    - LPG Markets
      - Pipelines: Sarnia, Ontario; Gulf Coast; Philadelphia => Export
      - Ethane Cracker
      - Diluent

![](_page_26_Picture_14.jpeg)

# QUESTIONS?

![](_page_27_Picture_2.jpeg)